Name Ms. Wu American Literature 18 January 2013

Room Set Up and Tear Down Assignments

Goal:

To set up and tear down the room efficiently and accurately in as little time possible ©

Thank you for your help!

Room Formations

Formation 1:

Inner circle/Outer circle. Chairs only for everybody. No desks.

Speakers form a semi-circle so you can see SMART board and comment counter in front.

Formation 2:

Table groups (Groups of 4 or 5)

Formation 3: Pairs and Rows (How we typically begin and end class)

Thursday Schedule 8:05 am	Every minute counts! Everyone comes early and finds seat. Speakers, sit in inner circle. Coaches, sit behind the student you are coaching. General Evaluators, please sit between coaches and intersperse yourselves in outer circle. Comment Counter, sit in the front with white board.
	Transition Tracker and Quote Tracker, sit between coaches in outer circle.
8:10 am	Intercom announcements.
	Ms. Wu welcomes film crew.
e e	Go over objectives and homework as usual.
8:15 am	Seminar 1: Part 1
8:30 am	Coaches: Walk your student through scoring guide and complete coaching protocol.
	Evaluators: Prepare sharing.
8:35 am	Class Debrief
8:45 am	Seminar 1: Part 2
9:00 am	Silent Reflection
	Speakers: Score self-assessment
	Coaches: Finish peer review
	All Evaluators: Write summary of entire seminar discussion.
9:05 am	Class Debrief/Vote for Outstanding Discussant (clickers)
9:10/15 am	Transition – Set up room in regular TABLE GROUPS.
9:20 am	Small group discussion and then whole Class Discussion
9:30 am	Listening Evaluation: Silent writing time (will serve as exit card)
9:45 am	Ms. Wu stands by the door and collects listening evaluations.
	o man transfer by the door and denotes noteting evaluations.

Room Transition Roles

Brian Twiggs' section	Sarah's Section	Brian H's section	Ryan Lo's section
Desk Leader: Brian T	Desk Leader: William	Desk Leader: David Tran	Desk Leader: Daniel VG
Push desks against wall	Move desks inside &	Move desks inside &	Push desks against wall
Chairs in OUTER circle	outside; assembly line	outside; assembly line	Chairs in OUTER circle
	All chairs in INNER circle	All chairs in INNER circle	
Please neatly arrange		EXCEPT Andrei & Meghan	Please neatly arrange
desks and chairs.		Brian H & Daniel B chairs	desks and chairs.
		given to BB and CC people	

Name	
Ms. Wu	
American Literature	•
18 January 2013	

N-Word Socratic Seminar Groups

	Group 1	Group 2	Group 3		
	Thursday: Speaking	Thursday: Coaching Group 1	Thursday	: Evaluating	Ţ.
	Friday: Evaluating	Friday: Speaking	Friday: C	oaching Gr	oup 2
	Monday: Coaching Group 3	Monday: Evaluating	Monday: Speaking		
		·		1 st Half	2 nd Half
1	Cannon	Ryan D	Katie	BB	GE
2	Nico	Annie	Natasha	GE	GE
3	Angeles	Sarah	Miles	GE	QT
4 .	Seraphine	Henry	Max M	GE	TT
5 .	Kit	Jose	Ariel	GE	GE
6	Aren	Daniel VG	Jamie	СС	GE
7	Daniel B	Miki	Darius	GE	GE
8	Drew	David T	Audrey	TT	GE
9	Meghan	Andrei	Max G	GE	СС
10	Kiley	Brian H	Patrick	GE	GE
11	Gabriela	William	Gaku	QT	GE
12	Ryan Lo	Brian T	Caelan	GE	ВВ

Evaluation Roles

GE

General evaluator. Fill out seminar notes. After each half, you will report the following:

- Major strengths and growth areas
- Most in-depth inferences and connections you heard
 Sentence Frame: An in-depth inference/connection | heard was when [name student]
 said...! liked this inference/connection because...
- Specific questions or comments that were undeveloped

 [Student's] question/comment about ______ was undeveloped/ unanswered. I think we should explore this question further because...
- Misreadings of quotes and comments/questions that were unclear/not logical
 I'm not sure if we understand [author's] argument clearly yet. I think we need to define
 what [author] means when he/she says... I think we're still trying to understand...
- **Big Board.** Bullet point major ideas on the SMART board so everyone can see it. Summarize what the major topics of discussion are after each half.
- **CC** Comment Counter. Keep track of the number of comments each speaker makes. Use different colors to show the first half versus the second half. Report the balance of speaking after each half and any patterns you see: who is dominating, who is withdrawing, are only boys/girls speaking? Encourage the group to share speaking as equally as possible.
- Transition Tracker. Keep track of which transitions are used, by whom, how many times. Encourage group to use more advanced transitions. Select specific ones you want the group to use. Give report after each half.
- QT Quote Tracker. Keep track of which quotes are used from each text, by whom, how many times. Point out which articles or sources we have not examined in depth.

Name_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Ms. Wu	
	an Literature
2012-20	013
	American Literature Socratic Seminar Scoring Guide (Self-Assessment)
My Spe	aking Goals
	want to work on(pick 2 specific skills you want to work on in Socratic Seminar)
1.	
-	
2.	•
Advanc	ed Discussion Skills
	meets all proficient criteria fully and consistently PLUS at least three of the following:
	Makes an in-depth connection, question, or inference that goes below-the-surface and explains it using explicit
	academic language.
	Uses an advanced level transition
	Identifies and explains where the author leaves matters uncertain and explains why this ambiguity is important
	Identifies a misreading or misinterpretation of the text and clarifies confusion by explaining what the text means in
	his/her own words Makes an in-depth connection or inference to another American Literature text from a previous era, a historical
<u></u>	American event, or contemporary American event
	Summarizes where the discussion has been succinctly and transitions the discussion to a deeper place by posing a
April 18	question that specifically flows out of where the discussion has been
	Synthesizes at least two previous comments/ideas together succinctly in one speaking turn using an academic
	transition and connects ideas back to the text in a new way and explains that connection
	Synthesizes multiple texts together and discusses how they support, challenge, and/or qualify the ideas being
	discussed; e.g. explains how Pitts, Jr. and Naylor would respond to someone's comment
→ \$7#	RT HERE. Proficient Discussion Skills
Prepara	
_	eet all preparation criteria for proficiency.
	Student completes ALL Socratic Seminar preparation before seminar.
	Student brings ALL texts, questions, and required materials to the seminar.
Particip:	ntion.
-	participates in seminar by doing all of the following:
	Student follows norms for discussion both as listener and speaker. This includes making eye contact, sitting up
_	straight, and maintaining focus and energy throughout the discussion.
	Uses academic transitions and phrases to build on the thoughts of others every time he/she speaks.
	Makes a <i>relevant</i> comment, question, or clarifying question that is on-topic and builds the discussion.
	Provides relevant quotes from the focus texts to support point. Offers page number, directs everyone to the text,
	and waits for most of the group to find the quote and reads it out loud.
	Explains how the quote supports point in own words.
	Does not dominate or withdraw; does not need to be invited to join discussion or asked to hold back until others
	have spoken.

Proficient Minus Discussion Skills Basic Discussion Skills Below Basic Discussion Skills Far Below Basic Discussion Skills

Meets 7 proficient criteria. Meets 5-6 proficient criteria. Meets 3-4 proficient criteria.

Meets 2 or fewer of the proficient criteria.

Self- Evaluation

1. What specific coaching	did you receive at half-	time?		
	•			
2 Tahat autautaua			1	1: 11 115
2.To what extent were yo	ou able to make adjustm	nents and apply t	ne coacning receive	ed in the second haif?
	·			
	•			
3.Score the scoring guide of the seminar?	. What overall skill leve	el do you think yo	u most consistently	demonstrated in both halve
	·			
4. What was your best co	ntribution and why?			
				•
٠.				
	,		·	
5.Did you meet your goal	s? Why or why not? Ex	oplain.		
		•		·
•				
	,			
6. What specific skill do yo	ou want to work on next	t time and why?	Explain. Be specific	2.
		•		

Name	<u> </u>				
Ms. Wu					
American Literature					
2012-2013					
Awarian	n Libergatura Ferratio Comingra Coming Coulds (Conshine)				
America	n Literature Socratic Seminar Scoring Guide (Coaching)				
l am coaching	-				
Advanced Discussion Skills					
Student meets all proficient criteria fully	y and consistently PLUS at least three of the following:				
	question, or inference that goes below-the-surface and explains it using explicit				
 Uses an advanced level transiti 	on				
Defines the terms the author u	ses clearly in the context of the work				
	he author leaves matters uncertain and explains why this ambiguity is important				
	nterpretation of the text and clarifies confusion by explaining what the text means in				
his/her own words					
	or inference to another American Literature text from a previous era, a historical				
American event, or contempor	·				
	ion has been succinctly and transitions the discussion to a deeper place by posing a out of where the discussion has been				
	Synthesizes at least two previous comments/ideas together succinctly in one speaking turn using an academic transition and connects ideas back to the text in a new way and explains that connection				
	ther and discusses how they support, challenge, and/or qualify the ideas being				
	tts, Jr. and Naylor would respond to someone's comment				
→ START HERE. Proficient Discussion	Chilla				
Preparation	OKINS TO THE STATE OF THE STATE				
Must meet all preparation criteria for pr	roficiency				
	Seminar preparation <i>before</i> seminar.				
	ions, and required materials to the seminar.				
Participation					
Student participates in seminar by doing					
	ussion both as listener and speaker. This includes making eye contact, sitting up				
	and energy throughout the discussion.				
	phrases to build on the thoughts of others every time he/she speaks.				
	estion, or clarifying question that is on-topic and builds the discussion.				
	the focus texts to support point. Offers page number, directs everyone to the text, to find the quote and reads it out loud.				
☐ Explains how the quote suppor					
	v; does not need to be invited to join discussion or asked to hold back until others				
have spoken.	,, and in the second to be invited to join discussion of distinct to hold busin until others				
Proficient Minus Discussion Skills	Meets 7 proficient criteria.				
Basic Discussion Skills	Meets 5-6 proficient criteria.				
Below Basic Discussion Skills	Meets 3-4 proficient criteria.				
Far Below Basic Discussion Skills	Meets 2 or fewer of the proficient criteria.				
Post-Coaching					
1. Complete scoring guide.	Speaking: Discussion Skill Level				
. ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~					

2. What specific skill do you recommend to your peer to work on next time and why? Explain. Be specific.

Comments and Questions	Quotes	Transitions Used	
Comment Counter (tick marks)			
	· ·		
	•		
	•		
	- 6		
	İ		
Conching Duntage at Half Time			

Coaching Protocol at Half Time

- 1. Open with sharing what your peer's best comment has been so far and explain why it was so good.
- 2. Talk through the scoring guide and tell your peer which criteria he/she has met so far.
 - a. Identify strengths and growth areas.
 - b. Share how many times you have heard your peer speak. Give feedback on participation—dominating, withdrawing, striking a good balance?
 - c. Share transitions you have heard. Pick a new transition to try in the second half.
 - d. Share quotes you have heard. Pick a new quote to use and explain in the second half.
 - e. Share whether you hear your peer actually explaining the quotes. Brainstorm strategies to help your peer offer stronger commentary.
 - f. Ask what your peer needs help on and brainstorm strategies for growing in the second half.
- 3. Give your peer a high five for a job well done so far!

Post-Coaching Questions

4. What specific coaching did you give your peer at half-time?

5. Compare and contrast your peer's discussion skill levels in the first versus second half. What improved? What stayed the same?

Socratic Seminar Listening Notes

Q=Question CQ=Clarifying Question

C=Comment

TR=Textual Reference

T=Transition

NV=Invitation

CA=Agreeing Comment

CD=Disagreeing Comment

S=Synthesizing Comment

*=New idea I want to think more about

Person	Code: What kind of comment?	Specific Details about Comment, Question, Idea	Thematic Category What bigger themes or topics does this comment or question address?	Evaluation and Patterns What do I think about this? A/DA/DK, Logic?, Sig?, Patterns? Engage w/ ideas.
Jane	Q	To what extent is the story hopeful?	Story's message	
·				•

^{**}Continue on binder paper

Socratic Seminar Listening Notes

Q=Question CQ=Clarifying Question C=Comment TR=Textual Reference T=Transition NV=Invitation

Person	Code: What kind of comment?	Specific Details about Comment, Question, Idea	Thematic Category What bigger themes or topics does this comment or question address?	Evaluation and Patterns What do I think about this? A/DA/DK, Logic?, Sig?, Patterns? Engage w/ ideas.
Jane	Q	To what extent is the story hopeful?	Story's message	

^{**}Continue on binder paper

Name	
Ms. Wu	
American Literature	
18 January 2013	•

Socratic Seminar General Evaluation

Half-Time Evaluation Report

nail-Tille Evaluation Report	
Questions	Responses
CONTENT: Bullet points the major topics of discussion	
in the first half. Be specific.	
3 - 1	
CONTENT: Most thought-provoking comment,	
question, or inference so far and why (and who said it)	
question, or inversions so for and trity (and trite solarly	
CA N.A	
CONTENT: Specific questions or comments that were	
undeveloped	
CONTENT: Misreadings, misinterpretations, things that	
were confusing that need to be clarified	
,	
PROCESS: Major strength of the group so far	
···	
DDOCESS. Country and the second of the secon	
PROCESS: Growth area, recommendation for	
improvement	
· · ·	
	<u> </u>

Post-Discussion Report

	I _
Questions	Responses
CONTENT: Bullet points the major topics of discussion	
in the second half. Be specific.	
in the second han, be specific.	
,	
CONTENT: Most thought-provoking comment,	
question, or inference in second half and why (and who	
said it)	
·	
CONTENT: Specific questions or comments that were	
undeveloped	
CONTENT: Misreadings, misinterpretations, things that	
were confusing that need to be clarified	
	·
PROCESS: How the group improved in the second half	
in terms of process	
in terms of process	
1	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
OVERALL SKILL LEVEL: If you were going to score the	
seminar as a whole, what overall skill level would you	
give the group and why?	
'	
1	<u> </u>
Your Opinion: What comment did you most AGREE with	
and why?	
aria way t	
'	
Vaus Oninian What agreement did agree to DICA CREE	
Your Opinion: What comment did you most DISAGREE	
with and why?	
·	